

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,367	11/14/2005	Jeroen J. Crevecoeur	4662-306	1185
23117 7590 10/10/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/523 367 CREVECOEUR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Ana L. Woodward 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/7/05

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Claims 1-17 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: the
 various materials embraced by the presently claimed branching agent. It appears that the
 branching agent per claim 3, i.e., a copolymer of unsaturated dicarboxylic acid or
 derivative thereof and vinylaromatic monomer has been constructively elected by original
 presentation for prosecution on the merits.
- The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

Application/Control Number: 10/523,367 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

 Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Application/Control Number: 10/523,367

Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter
which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the metes and bounds of "black polyaniline derivative" are indeterminate in scope. Furthermore, it is unclear as to whether the term "black" is qualifying the polyaniline component or the derivative.

In claim 1 and 8, it is unclear as to what is meant by a "branching agent".

In claims 1 and 8, it is unclear as to whether or not the carbon black is a required material or is an alternative to the branching agent. In this regard, it is unclear as to whether "further comprises at least a" is qualifying both the branching agent and the carbon black.

In claim 2, the markush group format is indefinite in the recitation of "or".

In claim 3, it is unclear as to whether or not the copolymer requires both acid and vinylaromatic monomers.

In claims 6 and 16, the recited end group concentration is indefinite in that the nature of the end group is not apparent.

In claim 7, it is unclear if or how the recited additives distinguish over any of the black polyaniline derivative, branching agent and carbon black materials per the base claim.

In claims 14 and 15, it is unclear if or how the objectionable term "obtainable" further limits the claimed subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,367

Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action;

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-10, 12, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 02066558.

WO '558 discloses an impact-modified composition comprising A) a polyamide,
B) an acrylonitrile-rubber-styrene copolymer optionally comprising polyamidecompatibilizing functional groups, C) optionally one or more compatibilizing agents
comprising polyamide-compatibilizing functional groups, D) optionally an elastomer and
E) lamellar mineral particles.

Preferred compositions comprise nylon, reading on presently claimed polyamide, styrene-based copolymers grafted with maleic anhydride, reading on the copolymer of carboxylic acid derivative and vinylaromatic monomer defining the branching agent per claim 3, and as additives, carbon black and nigrosine (Table I, etc.). The compositions meet the requirements of the present claims both in terms of the types of materials added and their contents. The compositions are useful in the production of shaped articles such

Application/Control Number: 10/523,367

Art Unit: 1796

as automobile bodywork parts. The onus is shifted to applicants to establish that the product of the present claims is not the same as or obvious from that set forth by the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 Claims 11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 02066558 in view of US 2003/0162900 (Joachimi et al).

WO '558 differs in essence from the above-rejected claims in not expressly disclosing a welding process in which at least two parts, wherein at least one comprises the polyamide composition, are bonded together by means of a welding technique. It is maintained, however, that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the polyamide compositions of WO '558 in a welding process in accordance with the ultimate product and application desired. In this regard, it is well known that parts made from similar-such polyamide compositions can be welded together as per Joachimi et al. Accordingly, no patentability can be seen in the presently claimed subject matter.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ana L. Woodward/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796